EXHIBIT 4

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
4			
5	CHASOM BROWN, MARIA NGUYEN, AND) C-20-03664 YGR WILLIAM BYATT, INDIVIDUALLY AND)		
6	ON BEHALF OF ALL SIMILARLY) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA SITUATED,		
7) MAY 3, 2022 PLAINTIFF,)		
8	PAGES 1-65 VS.		
9) SEALED PROCEEDINGS GOOGLE LLC AND ALPHABET INC.,)		
10	DEFENDANTS.		
11)		
12			
13	SEALED TRANSCRIPT OF ZOOM PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE SUSAN VAN KEULEN		
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
15			
16	APPEARANCES:		
17	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SUSMAN GODFREY LLP BY: AMANDA K. BONN		
18	1900 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067		
19	BY: ALEXANDER P. FRAWLEY		
20	RYAN SILA 1301 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 32ND FLOOR		
21	NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019		
22	APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE		
23	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595		
24			
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER		

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	
2	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP BY: MARK C. MAO
3		BEKO REBLITZ-RICHARDSON ERIKA B. NYBORG-BURCH
4		44 MONTGOMERY STREET, 41ST FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104
5		BY: ALISON L. ANDERSON
6		725 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 31ST FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
7		MORGAN & MORGAN
8		COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP BY: JOHN A. YANCHUNIS
9		RYAN J. MCGEE 201 N. FRANKLIN STREET, 7TH FLOOR
10		TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602
11		BY: MICHAEL F. RAM
12		711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
13		,
14	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN BY: ANDREW H. SCHAPIRO
15		191 N. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 2700 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606
16		
17		BY: STEPHEN A. BROOME VIOLA TREBICKA
18		865 S. FIGUEROA STREET, 10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
19		
20		BY: JOSEF ANSORGE 51 MADISON AVENUE
21		NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10010
22		BY: XI GAO 1300 I STREET NW, SUITE 900
23		WASHINGTON, DC 20005
24	ALSO PRESENT:	DOUGLAS BRUSH TIMOTHY SCHMIDT
25		CHRISTOPHER THOMPSON LILLIAN DAI

1 GIVES US A LITTLE TIME FOR SOME Q&A AND QUESTIONS. 2 I HAVE INVITED BOTH MR. BRUSH AND MR. SCHMIDT TO ASK 3 QUESTIONS AS COUNSEL ARE PRESENTING IF THERE'S A POINT THAT 4 THEY WANT CLARIFICATION ON, AND JUST TO SIMPLY LITERALLY RAISE 5 THEIR PHYSICAL HAND, NOT THEIR ZOOM HAND, BECAUSE I'LL SEE 6 THAT, AND ASK FOR CLARIFICATION, OR COMMENT IF THERE'S SOME DISCUSSION POINT THAT WOULD HELP TO INFORM THE SPECIAL MASTER 8 GOING FORWARD, AND OBVIOUSLY I WILL BE DOING THE SAME. ALL 9 RIGHT? 10 SO WITH THAT, MS. BONN, WHO'S GOT THE LEAD FOR YOUR TEAM 11 TO GET US STARTED? 12 MS. BONN: I DO, YOUR HONOR. 13 AND IF IT WOULD BE HELPFUL, WHAT WE HAD ANTICIPATED DOING IS THAT I WOULD GIVE AN OVERVIEW OF PLAINTIFFS' POSITION ON THE 14 15 THREE OUESTIONS THAT YOUR HONOR RAISED AND TRY TO KEEP IT TO BE 16 A PRETTY SUCCINCT OVERVIEW, AND THEN MR. MAO IS PREPARED TO 17 DISCUSS OUR RESPONSE ON QUESTION 1 IN GREATER DETAIL. 18 THE COURT: OKAY. 19 MS. BONN: MR. FRAWLEY AND MR. MCGEE ARE PREPARED TO 20 DISCUSS OUR RESPONSE ON QUESTION 2 IN GREATER DETAIL, AND THEN 21 I'LL COME BACK ON QUESTION 3. 22 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. JUST KEEP MY TIMEFRAME IN 23 MIND, PLEASE. 24 MS. BONN: ABSOLUTELY, YOUR HONOR. 25 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

1 MS. BONN: AND I'LL START WITH QUESTION 1, WHICH IS 2 ALSO ONE YOUR HONOR JUST REITERATED, ABOUT HOW DOES THIS RELATE 3 TO CLASS CERTIFICATION? WHAT ARE YOU ASKING FOR AND HOW DOES 4 IT RELATE? 5 AND WHAT I WANT TO PUT INTO CONTEXT, AND MR. MAO WILL 6 EXPLAIN IN GREATER DETAIL, IS THAT GIVEN WHERE WE ARE, I DON'T THINK PLAINTIFFS AFFIRMATIVELY, FOR OUR CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION, INTEND TO RELY ON SAMPLED DATA OR, FRANKLY, OTHER DATA. 8 9 I THINK WHERE THIS DATA ISSUE COMES INTO PLAY -- EXCUSE 10 ME -- OTHER DATA THAT GOOGLE HAS NOT PRODUCED. 11 WE DO HAVE EXPERTS WHO RELY ON DATA THAT WAS PRODUCED 12 DURING DISCOVERY. 13 BUT WHERE THIS COMES INTO PLAY, I THINK, IS THAT -- AND 14 THE NINTH CIRCUIT IS CLEAR THAT UNDER BRISENO VERSUS CONAGRA, 15 THERE IS NO ASCERTAINABILITY REQUIREMENT. AND WHAT THE NINTH 16 CIRCUIT SAID IN CONAGRA IS THAT OFTEN IN CLASS ACTIONS, EITHER 17 AFTER A CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT OR POTENTIALLY AFTER AN 18 AGGREGATED DAMAGE AWARD AND VERDICT, THERE MAY BE A CLAIMS 19 PROCESS IN WHICH CLAIMANTS CAN COME FORWARD AND SUBMIT A CLAIMS 20 FORM UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY SAYING, YOU KNOW, I HAVE A CLAIM, 21 I THINK I'M A MEMBER OF A CLASS. 22 IN THIS CASE, MARY SMITH COULD COME FORWARD AND SAY, I 23 BROWSED INCOGNITO IN 2018. 2.4 AND WHAT WE NOW KNOW IS THAT GOOGLE ONCE HAD DATA, AND 25 MR. MAO WILL EXPLAIN IN MORE DETAIL, THAT GOOGLE COULD HAVE

USED IN ANY SUCH CLAIMS PROCESS TO EITHER VERIFY OR CHALLENGE
ANY SUCH CLAIM THAT IS SUBMITTED IN THAT CLAIMS PROCESS.

2.1

2.4

BUT WHAT HAS HAPPENED IS THAT THAT DATA, WHICH ONCE EXISTED, MUCH OF IT HAS ALREADY BEEN DELETED AND IS BEING DELETED ON AN ONGOING BASIS.

A SAMPLE OF DATA BEING PRESERVED ON A GOING FORWARD BASIS WOULD NOT ASSIST GOOGLE IN THE EFFORT THEY WISH TO MAKE IN A CLAIMS PROCESS CHALLENGING CLAIMANTS BECAUSE THE ONLY DATA THAT WOULD BE PRESERVED ON A GOING FORWARD BASIS IS FOR A SAMPLE OF 10,000. SO IF A CLAIMANT CAME FORWARD AND THEY WERE NOT IN THE SAMPLE, AGAIN, GOOGLE WOULD NOT HAVE IN ITS POSSESSION ANY LONGER THE DATA IT MAY WANT TO EITHER VERIFY OR CHALLENGE THE CLAIM BEING MADE.

AND ONE OF THE OTHER CRITICAL THINGS WE'VE POINTED OUT IS THAT AS IT'S ARTICULATED, THE SAMPLING PLAN PROPOSED CALLS FOR RANDOM SAMPLES OUT OF DISPARATE LOGS, AND BECAUSE THE SAMPLING ISN'T DONE CONSISTENTLY BY I.P. ADDRESS AND USER AGENT PAIRS ACROSS LOGS, IT WOULD ALSO ELIMINATE ANY ABILITY THAT MAY HAVE EXISTED TO LINK UP DATA BETWEEN THE PSEUDONOMIZED OR B LOGS, UNAUTHENTICATED INCOGNITO LOGS, AND THE AUTHENTICATED PERSONAL LOGS WHICH WE TALKED ABOUT FAIRLY EXTENSIVELY AT LAST WEEK'S HEARING.

AND SO OUR POINT ON CLASS CERTIFICATION IS THAT THIS IS SOMETHING THAT GOOGLE MAY VERY WELL HAVE WANTED TO USE IN THE CLAIMS PROCESS, BUT THEY HAVE DELETED THAT DATA, AND SAMPLING

1		
2		
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
4		
5		
6		
7	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE UNITED	
8	STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA,	
9	280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY	
10	CERTIFY:	
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS	
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF ZOOM PROCEEDINGS IN THE	
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.	
14		
15	Au-den Start:	
16	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR	
17	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595	
18	DATED: JUNE 4, 2022	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1	